The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available on Emerald Insight at: www.emeraldinsight.com/1741-0401.htm

IJPPM 69,1

192

Received 21 November 2018 Revised 21 June 2019 Accepted 3 August 2019

Capacity utilization analysis through time-driven ABC in a small-sized manufacturing company

Hümeyra Adıgüzel Department of International Trade and Business Administration, Bahçeşehir University, Istanbul, Turkey, and

Marios Floros FMCS Growth Consultants Ltd, Heraklion, Greece

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this paper is to provide a case study about the capacity utilization analysis in a small-sized manufacturing company through the application of time-driven activity-based costing (TDABC). After a brief overview of development of the TDABC system, a detailed application of TDABC and capacity utilization analysis in a bakery is given.

Design/methodology/approach – This paper is based on a case study about the application of TDABC in a small-sized Greek manufacturing firm. In the case study, time equations were developed for the supporting, operating and manufacturing departments and product costs determined based on the model. Capacity utilization analysis made through the application of TDABC system.

Findings – The study shows that TDABC is more applicable in small-sized manufacturing companies because of their labor-intensive nature. In contrast to previous studies, authors argue that even in small firms simple excel sheets are not enough to capture the complexity of the time equations and business intelligence software and programming coding is required.

Research limitations/implications – Although the fundamental structure of TDABC is the same for all companies there is no strict form of application.

Practical implications – The practical implication of this paper is that each firm has unique characteristics that need to be reflected in the application of the TDABC model.

Originality/value – This paper contributes by providing insights into cost accounting in SMEs. More specifically, this paper contributes to the TDABC literature regarding the application of the system in small and medium sized manufacturing firms.

Keywords Time-driven activity based costing, Small-sized enterprises, Capacity utilization analysis, Time equations

Paper type Case study

1. Introduction

Managers of profit-seeking firms are tending toward cost reduction rather than cost control because of global competition, decentralization and decreased labor intensity. Cost reductions require maximum capacity utilization, so management of capacity and elimination of non-value-added activities are the most essential points. Traditional costing systems are not enough to meet the need for conducting capacity utilization analyses because they allocate overhead costs to products based on a volume-based cost driver, which leads to misinterpretation of results about product profitability.

The activity-based costing (ABC) system was developed in the 1980s to solve the problem of inaccurate allocation of overhead costs. ABC assigns overhead costs first to activities then to products or services (Bruggeman *et al.*, 2005). Although the model has enabled managers to get better profitability information, firms faced many problems, such

-0397 JEL Classification — M41, M11, M49



International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management Vol. 69 No. 1, 2020 pp. 192-216 © Emerald Publishing Limited 1741-0401 DOI 10.1108/IIPPM-11-2018-0397 as time-consuming surveying and the system's data processing costs, inflexibility when modification is needed and behavioral resistance to the system by managers and employees while implementing the ABC model in their companies. Stout and Propri (2011) state that these problems are particularly acute for small to medium-sized companies that are not likely to have sophisticated information processing systems.

The time-driven activity based costing (TDABC) model was developed as an alternative to the ABC model. This new system drives general ledger costs directly to departments unlike conventional ABC, in which general ledger costs are driven to hundreds of activities. TDABC has simplified the costing process by eliminating time-consuming interviews and surveys with employees. Small firms can benefit from TDABC more because of the use of its simplified parameters (Somapa *et al.*, 2012). Compared to ABC, TDABC provides management with a number of pragmatic solutions that can be used in small and medium-sized enterprises (Fladkjær and Jensen, 2011). The model allocates overhead and indirect costs to products or services according to the actual work demanded from the departments by these products or services. Allocation of costs under TDABC is mostly based on the firm's organizational structure – which department serves which. The design of the TDABC system changes from company to company to reflect the specific resource expense flows.

This paper discusses how TDABC can be applied in a small-sized manufacturing company with a different structure to large manufacturing firms. Musov (2017) claims that TDABC is an appropriate costing approach for SMEs because they are more labor intensive, and the system eliminates time-consuming interviews and surveys. There are few studies in the literature on the implementation of TDABC in small and medium-sized manufacturing firms (Öker and Adıgüzel, 2010; Stout and Propri, 2011; Barros and Ferreira, 2017; Wouters and Stecher, 2017; Lueg and Morratz, 2017; Ganorkar et al., 2018, 2019). Application of TDABC in such firms has some differences than that in larger firms. The most distinct difference concerns the calculation of capacity cost rates (CCR). Under TDABC, groups of resources are generally determined on a departmental basis, with CCR calculated for each department. In small businesses, however, departments are generally nested, so calculation of departmental CCR is not meaningful. On the other hand, the implementation of TDABC with the support of existing ERP systems in large firms allows easy updating as well as greater accuracy (Varila et al., 2007; Ruiz de Arbulo et al., 2012; Siguenza Guzman et al., 2013). In the case of SMEs with weaker ERP systems, TDABC can be built and maintained using relatively simple excel sheets (Somapa et al., 2012).

Application of TDABC differs across industries, most significantly between manufacturing and service companies. Because previous studies show that it is easier to apply TDABC in service companies because of their labor-intensive nature (Öker and Adıgüzel, 2010), the literature includes reports of many TDABC applications in service companies like hospitals (Demeere *et al.*, 2009; Campanale *et al.*, 2014; Kaplan *et al.*, 2014, 2015; McLaughlin *et al.*, 2014; Donovan *et al.*, 2014; Akhavan *et al.*, 2016; Laviana *et al.*, 2016), hotels and restaurants (Dalci *et al.*, 2010; Everaert *et al.*, 2012; Riediansyaf, 2014) and libraries (Pernot *et al.*, 2007; Kont and Jantson, 2011; Siguenza Guzman *et al.*, 2014).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section discusses the case company's background. Section 3 describes the application of TDABC in this small-sized manufacturing company, gives time equations, CCR calculations and allocation of cost from supporting to operating and manufacturing departments and then to product batches. Section 4 describes the TDABC capacity utilization analysis. The last section gives concluding remarks.

2. Company background

This study analyzes the activities taking place in a small-size manufacturing company producing bakery products in Greece[1]. The main objective of the company is to create



Capacity utilization analysis through TDABC

193

unique products with high nutrition value for the consumers. The company produces and distributes in its own retail shops products with short life cycles that are produced daily, such as bread, cookies, sweets, ice cream and others. The company also sells packaged products through its wholesale partnerships in order to be distribute them to retail shops in Greece or other countries.

There are five production departments that cover over 4.500 square meters, with each specialized to produce a different category of products. The company's daily production capacity can exceed 10 tones of finished products.

Until 2010, the company used a traditional costing system that gave inaccurate interpretations of the data and profitability calculations. Therefore, since 2011, the company has gradually migrated to the TDABC methodology, which provides correct calculations of the profitability per product, per product category, per client and per branch. This has enabled the company to continue expanding despite a difficult economic environment in Greece.

3. Application of TDABC

3.1 Application in small-sized companies

When implementing TDABC, the first step is identifying groups of resources that perform activities. CCR are then calculated by dividing the total cost of groups of resources by the practical time capacity of the group. Generally, groups of resources that are used to perform activities are classified in terms of departments. However, in most small businesses, departments cannot be classified accurately because generally one employee performs more than one function in the same area. This means that the calculation of CCR on a departmental (functional) basis does not produce meaningful results. For example, in the case company, one employee performs both the accounting and purchasing functions, so it is impossible to calculate different CCR for the two functions. We therefore calculated a single CCR for any employee who performs more than one function together. CCR is calculated as the total cost of resources divided by the practical time capacity of the employee. The total cost of resources includes the employee's salary and other support costs, like depreciation expenses or rent for the space used, depreciation of computers, machines or furniture used, electricity consumed in the area or by the computers, telecommunication expenses, etc. In this way, we can calculate the CCR for one employee rather than for a specific department, as we would do for larger firms.

To identify how much of the cost of supplying capacity is spent directly or allocated to other departments, we classified activities performed as corporate level, and supporting, operating and manufacturing activities.

The costs of corporate-level activities are directly expensed in the P/L schedule and not included in the cost of production because these activities are independent from the volume and mix of business done. Activities are classified as supporting level if they are not directly influenced by the firm's production volume. Because supporting activities just serve other departments, their costs are allocated to the specific departments that demand this work based upon the actual work done by these departments.

Some activities are classified as operating if they directly serve the production departments or there is a connection between batches of products and these activities. The cost of the operating department's activities can be allocated either to production departments or batches of products directly depending on the nature of the activity. For example, product delivery to the branches is an operating activity, so its cost can be allocated to the products delivered.

Classification of activities of departments and how the costs of these activities flow are shown in Table I and Figure 1.



IIPPM

69.1

Department	Activities	Classification of activity	Allocation of cost	Capacity utilization
Accounting	& Purchasing			analysis through
Act. 1	Checking outstanding balance for a client and receiving a payment	Operating	Batches of products	TDABC
Act. 2	Checking outstanding balance for a supplier and making payment	Supporting	Departments served ^a	
Act. 3	Monthly invoice archiving in folders	Corporate sustaining	Expensed	195
Act. 4	Recording invoices (from supplier) on ERP	Supporting	Departments served	
Act. 5	Preparing monthly financial report	Corporate sustaining	Expensed	
Act. 6	Preparing monthly payroll and making payments to employees	Supporting	Departments served	
Act. 7	Preparing order list based on the demands from the departments	Supporting	Departments served	
Act. 8	Preparing the monthly cash flow statement	Corporate sustaining	Expensed	
Sales & Log	istics Department			
Act. 1	Issuing invoices – sending documents to clients and retail shops	Operating	Batches of products	
Act. 2	Receiving orders from customers	Operating	Batches of products	
Act. 3	Notifying production departments about	Operating	Production	
	orders from retail shops		departments	
Act. 4	Driving the car to retail shops and distributing (plastic boxes of) products	Operating	Batches of products	
Maintenance	2 Department			
Act. 1	Performing machine maintenance and services	Operating	Production departments (maching)	
Act. 2	Performing maintenance for production	Operating	Production	
Act. 3	Performing maintenance for other departments	Supporting	Departments served	
Quality Con	trol & HR Department			
Act. 1	Performing product quality control in departments	Operating	Production departments	
Act. 2	Hiring new personnel	Supporting	Departments served	
Act. 3	Giving seminars	Supporting	Departments served	
Act. 4	Conducting R&D	Corporate sustaining	Expensed	
Warehouses				
Act. 1	Receiving activity	Operating	Production	
Act. 2	Put-away activity	Operating	Production	
Act 3	Picking activity	Operating	Batches of products	
Act. 4	Shipment preparation	Operating	Batches of products	
Washing Do	bartment			<i></i>
Act. 1	Washing plastic boxes for bread, pastries, sweets and ice cream departments	Operating	Batches of products	Table I. Classification of
Note: ^a See '	Table V for details about which departments b	penefit from these activities		expenses flow

3.2 Allocation of the cost of the supporting and operating departments

In the next step of applying the TDABC model, time equations were developed. The model assigns overhead costs to products or other departments through time equations. Rather than defining a separate activity for every possible combination of processes as in the ABC system, TDABC estimates time equations. These show the time consumed by an activity as





a function of different characteristics, called time drivers (Bruggeman *et al.*, 2005). TDABC captures the variability of activities by including the possible subtasks of these activities in the time equation (Siguenza Guzman *et al.*, 2013).

The time equations of each department are set to include multiple drivers for a single activity. Different sub-tasks of an activity have a different cost driver to reflect the complexity of each activity. Table II illustrates the activities, subtasks, time drivers and time consumed by each driver for the Accounting & Purchasing Department.

Through the activity analysis, time equations were made for the Accounting & Purchasing Department. For example, for the activity checking outstanding balance for a client and receive payment, the sub-tasks and their time drivers were determined and the following equation created:

5 min [number of agreements if no error found]

+20 min [number of agreements if error found]

+3 min [number of bank transfers received from clients]

+6 min [number of check payments received by clients]

+3 min [number of payments].

This activity is an operating activity and its cost is allocated to the batches of products that demand work from the department. Checking each agreement with the client "if no error found" uses 5 min of the department's resources. If an error is found in the agreement, then an additional 15 min consumed. Collections from the customer consume 3 min while the bank transfer and check payments consume 6 min. Scanning, saving, printing the document and then recording on the ERP consume 3 min for each payment.

The total time demanded by each client was then multiplied by the CCR of the department.

Table III illustrates the activities, subtasks, time drivers and time consumed by each driver for the Sales & Logistics Department.

For example, for the activity drive the car to retail shops and distribute the products in plastic boxes, the sub-tasks and their time drivers were determined, and the following equations established.

The departmental cost rate is valid only when the mix of resources supplied is the same for each activity and transaction performed within the department. However, it is not valid if



Subtask	Time driver	Time consumed	Time equation
Activity 1: checking outstand Compare outstanding balances	ing balance for a client and receiving payment Number of existing agreements	5 min per agreement if no error found 20 min per agreement if errors found	5 min [number of agreement if no error found] + 20 [number of agreement if error found] + 3 min [numb bank transfers received from clients] + 6 min [numb check navments received from clients] + 3 min [num
Payment received	Number of payments through Bank transfer Cheek	3 min per payment 6 min per payment	of payment]
Scan/save and print document	Number of payment	1 min per payment	
Record payment on ERP		2 min per payment	
Activity 2: checking outstand. Compare outstanding balances	ing balance for a supplier and making payment Number of agreements with suppliers	5 min per agreement if no error found 20 min per agreement if errors found	5 min [number of agreements if no error found] + 20 [number of agreements if error found] + 5 min [nur of new supplier] + 3 min [number of bank transfer suppliers] + 6 min [number of payment by check t
Verify bank account if new Make payment	Number of new supplier Number of payments through Bank transfer Check	5 min per new supplier 3 min per payment 6 min per payment	suppliers] + 3 min [number of payment]
Scan/save and print document Record payment on ERP	Number of Payment	1 min per payment 2 min per payment	
Activity 3: recording invoices Record invoice on ERP Create new record if new	(from supplier) on ERP Number of lines Number of new suppliers	0.25 min per line 5 min per new suppliers	0.25 min [number of lines] + 5 min [number of new suppliers] + 2 min [number of new raw materials]
suppuret Create new record if buying new raw materials, packaging materials, etc.	Number of new raw materials bought	2 min per new raw materials	
			(continu
Table II. Time equations for accounting & purchasing department			analysis through TDABC

IJPPM 69,1 198	Time equation	10 min [number of employees] + 0.20 min [number of lump-sum payments by e-banking] + 3 min [number of payment made through e-banking to a single employee] + 5 min [number of payments by cash] + 1.20 min [number of lump-sum payments] + 3 min [number of payments to a single employee]		0.16 min [number of order line] + 0.5 min [number of e-mails] + 7 min [number of phone calls] + 10 min [number of confirmation requests] + 10 min [number of price comparisons]
	Time consumed	10 min per employee 0.20 min per lump sum payments by e-banking (one payment for 80 employees) 3 min per payment if made to a single employee by e-banking 5 min per payment if paid by	1 min per payment 0.20 min per lump-sum payment 2 min per payments to a single employee	0.16 min per order line 0.5 min per e-mail 7 min per call 10 min per request 10 min per comparison
	Time driver	<i>payroll and making payments to employees</i> Number of employees Number of payments	Number of payments Number of payments	t based on the demands from the departments Number of order lines Number of e-mails Number of phone calls Number of confirmation requests Number of price comparisons
Table II.	Subtask	Activity 4: preparing monthly. Prepare monthly payroll Make payments	Saving payments in file and print document Record payment transactions on ERP	Activity 5: preparing order lis. Open order list from ERP Send e-mail to supplier Make phone call to supplier about the order Check if supplier requests confirmation on order Check if price comparison is needed between suppliers

	Subtask	Time driver	Time consumed	Time equation
SIL	Activity 1: issuing invoices – transporting doc Create new record (invoice/transportation document)	uments to clients and retail shops Number of new records	0.08 min per record	0.08 min [number of new records] + 0.08 min [number of lines if invoie/delivery note
*	Issue invoice/delivery note through ERP to clients or retail shop of company	Number of lines	0.08 min per line	issued by EKPJ + 0.33 mm [number of lines if invoice/delivery note was handwritten]
	Issue invoice/delivery note (handwritten if ERP has connectivity issues with database). After issuing the document, record on the client's record on ERP	Number of lines	(15 s per line to write it and 5 s per line to record on ERP)	
il	Activity 2: receiving orders from customers Receive an order file to the ERP	Number of orders from Regular customers	0.66 min per order 2 min per order	0.66 min [number of orders if regular customer] + 2 min [number of orders if non-regular
	Enter order lines	Non-regular customers Number of orders through: Fax	0.58 min per line 0.50 min per line	customers] + 0.58 min [number of line if order by fax] + 0.50 min [number of line if order by phone + 5 min [numbers of orders if requires
	Confirm order	Phone Number of orders requires confirmation	5 min per order	confirmation]
	Activity 3: notifying production departments of Print order from retail shop Deliver printed document to each production department	about orders from retail shops Number of orders Number of orders	2 min per order 5 min per order	7 min [number of orders]
	Activity 4: driving car to the retail shops and Drive to retail shops	distributing the (plastic boxes) produ Routes Branch 1 Branch 2 Branch 4 Branch 5	<i>ucts</i> 47.5 min per m ³ of car 1 ^a 57.5 min per m ³ of car 1 55 min per m ³ of car 2 65 min per m ³ of car 2 120 min per m ³ of car 2	Time equation 1 (CCR of employee resources): 2.5 min [numbers of 2 pieces of box type 1] + 2 min [numbers of 4 pieces of box type 2] + 2 min [numbers of box type 3] + 4 min [numbers of 6 pieces of box type 1] + 3 min [numbers of 6 pieces of box type 2] + 1.4 min [numbers of 2 pieces of box type 3]
				(continued)
	Table III. Time equations for Sales & Logistic Department			utilization analysis through TDABC

IJPPM 69,1 200	Time equation	Time equation 2 (CCR of vehicle resources): 95 min per m ³ [if products delivered to Branch 1] + 115 min per m ³ [if products delivered to Branch 2] + 110 min per m ³ [if products delivered to Branch 3] + 130 min per m ³ [if products delivered to Branch 4] + 240 min per m ³ [if products delivered to Branch 5]					standard routes every day. Each driver goes to the is measured in minutes consumed per cubic meter. otal cubic meters]]; ^b the types of plastic boxes have are full. Plastic Box Type 3 is very big so it is not r pieces of Box Type 1, six pieces of Box Type 2 or
	Time consumed		$2.5 \text{ min per } 2 \text{ pieces}^{b} \text{ of box type } 1$ 2 min per 4 pieces of box type 2 2 min per piece of box type 3	2 min per 4 pieces ^c of box type 1 1.5 min per 6 pieces of box type 2 0.7 min per 2 pieces of box type 3	47.5 min per m^3 of car 1 57.5 min per m^3 of car 1 55 min per m^3 of car 2 65 min per m^3 of car 2 120 min per m^3 of car 2	2 min per 4 pieces of box type 1 1.5 min per 6 pieces of box type 2 0.7 min per 2 pieces of box type 3	e located in city 2. The drivers have to follow to measure resource capacity. The capacity i ir[Total resource cost/(total time capacity × tr ces of Box Type 2 simultaneously when they hen the boxes empty, employee can carry fou
	Time driver		Number of boxes Box type 1 Box type 2	Box type 3 Number of boxes Box type 1 Box type 2	Box type 3 Routes Branch 1 Branch 2 Branch 4 Branch 4 Branch 4	Number of boxes Box type 1 Box type 2 Box type 3	1 while Branches 3, 4 and 5 ar tivity time is not enough alone llated by the following formula ces of Box Type 1 or four piec of this type simultaneously; ^{c_w} ading empty plastic boxes
Table III.	ubtask		hop off the plastic boxes at retail shops	oad empty plastic boxes into car	Drive car back to factory	prop off empty plastic boxes at washing lepartment	Votes: ^a Branches 1 and 2 are located in city tranches in one of the two cities. Driving act The capacity cost rate of the vehicles is calcu lifferent sizes. Employees can carry two pieces of someone to pick up two pieces of Box Type 3 together when loc
للاستشارات	4	لمنك		П		ЧЦ	

the activities within the department use different resources. In the case of the Sales & Logistics Department, separate CCR were calculated for employee resources and vehicle resources, so two different time equations were established for this activity because analysis through separate CCR were calculated for the different capacity resources.

Time equation 1[2] (CCR of employee resources):

2.5 min [numbers of 2 pieces of box type 1]

 $+2 \min [numbers of 4 pieces of box type 2]$

+2 min [numbers of box type 3]

+4 min [numbers of 4 pieces of box type 1]

+3 min [numbers of 6 pieces of box type 2]

+1.4 min [numbers of 2 pieces of box type 3].

Time equation 2 (CCR of vehicle resources):

95 min per m³[if products delivered to Branch 1]

+115 min per m³[if products delivered to Branch 2]

+110 min per m³[if products delivered to Branch 3]

+130 min per m³[if products delivered to Branch 4]

+240 min per m³[if products delivered to Branch 5].

Table IV illustrates the activities, subtasks, time drivers and time consumed by each driver for the Warehouse Department.

For example, for the "put-away" activity the sub-tasks and their time drivers were determined to create the following equation. Two different time equations[3] were developed for this activity because two different CCR were calculated for different capacity resources.

Time equation 1 (CCR for employee resources):

2 min per pallet [if Warehouse 1]+4 min per pallet [if Warehouse 2]

+8 min per pallet [if Warehouse 3] +10 min per pallet [if Warehouse 4]

+0.10 [number of boxes if weight per box is up to 15 kg]

+0.25 [number of boxes if weight per box is more than 15 kg and up to 25 kg]

+2 min [number of boxes if full pallet].

Time equation 2 (CCR for storage)[4]:

([Date that a good was taken out from warehouse]–[Date that a good was stored]) \times 1440.

The subtask for transferring the accepted goods from the collection area to Warehouses 1, 2, 3 and 4 consumes 2, 4, 8 and 10 min, respectively. Storing goods on the warehouse shelves subtask consumes 0.10 min for the plastic boxes up to 15 kg, and additional 0.15 min required for boxes between 15 and 25 kg. However, for the full pallets stored in the warehouses, 2 min of the resources are consumed. The total time consumed, which is obtained from the first equation, was multiplied by the CCR calculated for employee resources. From the second equation, we obtained the total time inventory stay in the



201

Capacity

utilization

TDABC

لم للاستشارات	Table IV. Time equations for Warehouse Department			IJPPM 69,1 202
ij	Subtask	Time driver	Time consumed	Time equation
	Activity 1: receiving activity Accept goods Finding the order from ERP Compare the initial quantities with	Number of purchase orders	1 min per order 0.08 min per order (0.08 min)	1.08 min [number of purchase orders] + 2 min [number of pallets] + 0.33 min [number of single boxes] + 0.33 min [number of damage reports]
Ы	the actual one received Check (count) the quantities, and basic quality demands (expiration date, temperature) and check for	Number of Pallets Boxes	2 min per pallet 0.33 min per box	
	damage Prepare damage report for the damaged goods (raw materials, packaging materials, etc.) and notify the supplier Notify the supplier about the damaged goods	Number of damaged reports	15 sec per damage report 5 sec per damage report	
	Activity 2: put-away activity Transfer (drive the trolley of one pallet of similar or mixed goods) accepted goods from collection area to warehouse departments	Number of pallets transferred to Warehouse 1 Warehouse 2 Warehouse 3	2 min per pallet [if Warehouse 1] 4 min per pallet [if Warehouse 2] 8 min per pallet [if Warehouse 3] 10 min per pallet [if Warehouse 4]	Time equation 1 (CCR for employee resources): 2 min frumbers of pallets if Warehouse 1] + 4 min [numbers of pallets if Warehouse 2] + 8 min [numbers of pallets if Warehouse 3] + 10 min [numbers of pallets if Warehouse 4] + 0.10[number of boxes if weight per
	Store goods (raw materials, packaging materials, etc) in the warehouse shelves	Warehouse 4 Number of boxes	0.10 min per box [if weight per box is up to 15 kg] 0.25 min per box [if weight per box is more than 15 kg and up to 25 kg]	box is up to 15 kg1 + 0.25 [number of boxes it weight per box is more than 15 kg and up to 25 kg] + 2 min [number of boxes if full pallet] Time equation 2 (CCR for storage): (Date that a good was taken out from warehouse] -
	Storage	Number of units	 Zimm per lox [u run paued] (Date that an item was taken out from warehouse] – [Date that an item was stored]) × 1440 	[Late that a good was stored]) × 1440
				(continued)

tion	mbers of delivery] + 2 min [numbers of extra request is from PD3 or PD4 or PD5) + nbers of delivery if extra request is from in [numbers of delivery if extra request is +1 min[number of lines] +0.10 min if boxes if weight per box is up to 15 kg] +	ers of boxes if weight per box is more than up to 25 kg] + 2 min [numbers of boxes if full in [numbers of pallets if driving trolley to and PD5] + 10 min [numbers of pallets if lley to PD 2] + 12 min [numbers of pallets if ng trolley to PD1]		uber of boxes] + 2 min [number of boxes if e located in Warehouse 1] + 4 min [number of oducts are located in Warehouse 2] + 8 min	Doxes It products are located in warehouse 31 number of boxes if products are located in e4] + 0.16 min [number of types 1 plastic 33 min [number of types 2 & 3 plastic boxes if	let] + 0.088 min [number of types 2&3 plastic 1 pallet] + 0.16 min [number of carton boxes if let] + 0.08 min [number of carton boxes if full • carton boxes]		Capacity utilization analysis through TDABC 203
Time equa	10 min [nu delivery if 5 min [nun PD2] + 81 from PD1] [numbers	0.25 [numl 15 kg and pallet]+5 n PD3, PD4 extra driving trc extra drivi		5 min [nun products al boxes if pr	[number of + 10 min [Warehouse boxes] + 0.	not full pal boxes if ful not full pal pallet of 25		
Time consumed	10 min per delivery 2 min per delivery [if extra request is from PD3, PD4 and PD5) 5 min per delivery [if extra request is from PD2] 8 min per delivery [if extra request is from	PD1] 1 min per line 0.10 min per box [if weight per box is up to 15 kg] 0.25 per box [if weight per box is more thar 15 kg and un to 25 kg]	2 min per box [if full pallet] 5 min per box [if full pallet] 5 min per pallet [if driving trolley to PD3, PD4 and PD5) + 10 min per pallet [if driving trolley to PD2] + 12 min per pallet [if extra driving trolley to PD1]	5 min	2 min if Warehouse 1 4 min if Warehouse 2 8 min if Warehouse 3	10 min if Warehouse 4 0.16 min per plastic box (type 1) 0.33 min per plastic box (type 2 & 3) 0.088 min per plastic box (type 2 & 3) if ful	paney 0.16 min per carton box 0.08 min per carton box if full pallet	
Time driver	Number of deliveries	Number of lines Number of boxes	Number of pallets transferred	Number of boxes	Number of boxes	Number of boxes		
ubtask	l <i>divity 3: picking activity</i> teceiving (picking list) demands rom production departments	reate a buying order list if stock on 1 helves is below critical levels (check, nake note, record on ERP) fick goods (raw materials, packaging 1 aterials) from warehouse shelves or distribution to production er distribution to production	Trive trolley with goods to I oroduction departments	<i>Activity 4: shipment preparation</i> 'Ack final goods and load them to rucks to deliver to clients or ranches	Drive trolley to pick up products lepending their storage location	Yick up products depending on their 1 ackaging characteristics and umber (full pallet or not)		Table IV.
تشارات	™ کر للاس			υ τ Γ	ĞD	P p: n	I	

warehouses, which was multiplied by the CCR calculated for the storage resources (see Table VII for CCR calculations).

When applying TDABC in the company, the overhead costs of the supporting departments allocated to other departments were based on the actual work demanded from those supporting departments. Table V shows the actual work demanded from some of these departments for each activity performed.

Note that the cost of checking outstanding balance for a client and receive payment (Activity 1) of the Accounting & Purchasing Department was directly allocated to batches of products because this activity is directly related to production volume. In contrast, monthly invoice archiving on folders (Activity 3) is a corporate level activity so its cost is expensed directly in the P/L schedule.

Table VI shows the assignment of the costs of support departments to the other departments based on the actual work demanded from each department. Through time equations, the total time demanded by other departments was determined and multiplied by the CCR of the department which is shown in Table VII.

TDABC generally assumes that capacity is measured by the time available from people and equipment. However, there are examples when time is not used to measure resource capacity, such as measuring a department's capacity in terms of area in square meters. Table VII shows the CCR calculations for the supporting and operating departments. When classifying departments, we adhered to the company's own classifications made. The total capacity costs of the departments, which include employee salaries, and supporting costs, like depreciation or utilities, were divided by total practical time capacity of the employees.

3.3 Cost allocation for production departments

The same procedures were also applied to the company's six production departments:

- (1) Production Department 1 produces breads and double-baked breads.
- (2) Production Department 2 produces cookies and biscuits.
- (3) Production Department 3 produces pastry.
- (4) Production Department 4 produces sweets and chocolate.
- (5) Production Department 5 produces ice cream.
- (6) Packaging Department.

The following section provides example CCR calculations for Production Department 2 and the Packaging Department while the time equations are explained for two products in Production Department 2: cookies (ID 10320) and biscuits (ID 10325).

The cost model for this company was created under the philosophy of having multiple CCRs for single machines or groups of machines used in the production departments. Each production department has various machines that are not used together or for all tasks and steps in each product's production process. This enables us to measure costs more accurately, instead of using only one CCR for all the machinery in the department. A single CCR was calculated for those machines in the same department that perform identical tasks. For example, if a department has five ovens that are identical in their characteristics and production capacity, we used a single CCR. In this way, the productivity of a machine or group of machines can be measured and unused capacity managed. Tables VIII and IX show the CCR calculations for Production Department 2 and the Packaging Department.

Table X shows the time equation for the production of cookies (ID 10320) in Production Department 2.



204

IJPPM

69.1

Capacity utilization analysis through TDABC

205

Batches of Batches of	378								378	Batches of	11.623	14,848		26,471	207,495	25	5,187,375	Batches of Broducts					44,289	25,308	69,597	
Corporate		892	4,500	511	2,500		1	4,623	13,027	Corporate				0			0	Corporate							0	
Packaging Department		938		665		467	251		2,320	Packaging Department			177	177			0	Packaging Department	131,400	150	19,710,000	1,818			1,818	
Production Department 5		63		79		115	89		346	Production Department 5			892	892			0	Production Department 5	367,920	50	18,396,000	184			184	
Production Department 4		1,199		2,489		1,392	1,155		6,235	Production Department 4			1,521	1,521			0	Production Department 4	341,640	100	34,164,000	7,252			7,252	
Production 3 3		1,557		2,981		3,003	2,043		9,585	Production 3 3			1,521	1,521			0	Ргодистіоп Дерагіллені 3	446,760	520	232,315,200	9,538			9,538	and one of the
Production Department 2		886		1,096		1,410	953		4,344	Production Department 2			1,521	1,521			0	Production Department 2	473,040	500	236,520,000	3,863			3,863	inite Com
Production Department I		1,093		1,496		2,856	1,164		6,610	Production Department I			1,521	1,521			0	Production Department 1	499,320	450	224,694,000	5,113			5,113	Deserves
Washing Department		160		85		230	9		481	BrinkeW Tranting				0			0	Washing Department							0	مسمطم
Warehouse Department		938		1,079		230	1,779		4,025	Warchouse Department				0			0	Warehouse Warehouse							0	IN Pro
Department T		192		103		0	0		296	Department T				0			0	Department D							0	
Department Control & HR		80		184		400	18		682	Quality Control & HR Department				0			0	Quality Control & HR Department							0	T
Maintenance Department		537		624		230	9		1,397	Maintenance InomragoU				0			0	Maintenance InsmrusqoD							0	-1 0-
Sales & Logistics Department		594		2,042		574	5		3,211	Sales & Logistics Department				0			0	Sales & Logistics Inemtnent							0	0
Accounting & Purchasing Ineminent						230			230	Accounting & Purchasing Inemtnent				0			0	Accounting & Purchasing Department							0	0- D
To:	Activity 1:	Activity 2:	Activity 3:	Activity 4:	Activity 5:	Activity 6:	Activity 7:	Activity 8:	Total (min)	To:	Activity 1:	Activity 2:	Activity 3:	Total (min)	Activity 4(min)	Activity 4 (m ³)	Total (min*m ³)	To:	Activity 2 (min)	Activity 2 (m ³)	Total (min*m ³)	Activity 1:	Activity 3:	Activity 4:	Total (min)	a A securities
Department	Bui	ı seq	oun	d ?	8 g	nitr	mo) 207	1	tnemtnego	I sc	oitei	go.	13	sə	lsZ		ə	sno	u	ьW	I	l			Victor.

المتسارات

IJPPM 69,1

206

Γ	Unused /Excess Capacity %	54%	71%	35%	17%	17%	-30%	-17%	19%	(pənu
_	(B-A) Unused /Excess Capacity	64,279 min	83,822 min	2,798,909 min*m ³	20,080 min	164,512,800 min*m ³	-35,855 min	-39,985 min	22,103 min	(conti
	(B) Total Practical Capacity	117,445 min	117,445 min	7,986,284 min*m ³	117,445 min	930,312,000 min*m ³	117,445 min	234,892 min	117,445 min	
	(A) Total capacity used	53,166 min	33,623 min	5,187,375 min*m ³	97,365 min	765,799,200 min*m ³	153,300 min	274,877 min	95,342	
	Batches of products	378	26,471	5,187,375	69,597	0	0	0	95,342	
	Corporate	13,027	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Packaging Department	2,320	177	0	1,818	19,710,000	21,840	31,105	0	
	Production C memmega	346	892	0	184	18,396,000	3,360	6,331	0	
	Production Department 4	6,235	1,521	0	7,252	14,164,000	21,420	48,146	0	
	Production Department 3	9,585	1,521	0	9,538	32,315,200 3	31,920	67,095	0	
	Production Department 2	4,344	1,521	0	3,863	6,520,000 2	24,360	43,738	0	
	Production Department I	6,610	1,521	0	5,113	224,694,000 23	50,400	78,462	0	
	Washing Department	481	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Warehouse Department	4,025	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	IT Department	296	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
A Produce	Quality Control & HR Department	682	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
timents a	Maintenance Department	1,397	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ther Denai	Sales & Logistics Department	3,211	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O VO	Accounting & Purchasing Inaminent	230 ^b	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Part 1: Total time Dem		Accounting & Purchasing Department ^a	Sales & Logistics Department (Employee capacity)	Sales & Logistics Department (vehicles capacity)	Warehouse (employee capacity)	Warehouse (storage capacity)	Maintenance Department	Quality Control & HR Department	Washing Department	
لمنارة		-								1

Table VI.

Assignment of cost of supporting and operating departments to other departments

Capacity utilization analysis through TDABC

4,988€ 13,126.68 € 12,227.33 € 1,523.61 € 55,517.97 € -21,178.25 E 4,803.37 € 24,013.13 E 34,955.43 € 23,983.98€ 15,733.90 € 17,132.00 € 55,955.36 € 26,488 € 68,518.00 E 8,911.39€ 7,387.78 € 437.39 € 21,500 € 10,857.30 € 4,904.67 € 20,537.27 E 56,133.68 € 44.504.87 E 5,280.81 € 0.00 € $0.00 \in$ 21,500 € 77.19 € 3,861.44 € 44,507.68 € $0.00\, \ell$ 0.00 € 2,660.28 € 0.00€ 0.00 E 0.00€ 0.00 € 0.00 € 0.00 € $0.00\, {\rm e}$ 473.88 € 25.77 € 137.97 € $21.04\,\ell$ 6,352.03 € 0.00 E 2,925.86€ 450.13 € $0.00\,\ell$ 1,292.94 € 70.62 € 130.07 € 13.96 € $0.62 \in$ 0.00 E 221.85 € 550.26 € 65.77 € $0.00\,\ell$ 1,273.19 € 2,869.59 E 9,832.05 € 0.00 221.85 € $244.81 \ \ell$ 0.00 € 723.69 € 4,276.25 € 13,701.70 € 1,957.34€ 0.00 E 221.85 € 887.16€ 293.13 € 35.75 € $0.00 \in$ 3,263.46 € 8,931.89 € 0.00 € 221.85 € 387.96€ $0.00 \in$ 6,751.98 € 16,023.08 € 69.40 € 1,349.76 € 0.00 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ 98.25 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ 0.00 € $0.00 \in$ 822.02 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00\, {\rm \textit{e}}$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ 0.00 E 0.00 € 60.45 € 0.00 € $0.00\, \ell$ 0.00€ 0.00 € 0.00€ 0.00€ 139.27 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00\,\ell$ 0.00 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ 0.00 € 0.00 E 285.27 € $0.00 \, {\rm e}$ 0.00 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \in$ 0.00 E 655.74 E^c $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \, \ell$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \ \ell$ $0.00 \in$ $0.00 \, \ell$ 0.00 € $46.89 \, \mathrm{e}^\mathrm{d}$ 0.00 € $0.00 \in$ $0.00\, \ell$ 0.00€ $0.00\, \ell$ 0.00€ 0.00€ unting & asing Department : & Logistics rtment (Employee Quality Control & HR Department acity) ss & Logistics artment (vehicles house (employee Washing Department ehouse (storage icity) ntenance Accounting Purchasing J Safes & Log Dapartment (Bapartment t Safes & Log Dapartment (explosics (Warehouse (explosics () Mathematical Mathematica

serves to other departments and amounts are very small to affect the results. In the contrary case, reciprocal allocation of supporting and operating using suitable cost drivers. For example; kws for electricity, square meters for depreciation of the building, numbers of employees for water etc; ⁴total time demanded by the Sales & Logistic department from Accounting & Purchasing Department multiplied with the CCR of Accounting determined from the trial balances of the company. The cost of resources like electricity, depreciation or water allocated to the departments by departments' cost is needed; bhese numbers are obtained from Table V which shows detailed activity analysis; 'total costs of departments are Notes: ^aWe ignored reciprocal allocation between supporting and operating departments because only Accounting & Purchasing Department & Purchasing Department (see Table VII for CCR calculations of Supporting and Operating Departments)

· ~	
cpartments'	Sales & Logistics Department
upport De	Accounting & Purchasing Department
Allocation of S	
2: 4	
Part	

osts

(B-A) Unallocated (Expensed

(B) Total Cost^c

(A) Amount Allocated

sionpoid Batches of

Corporate

านอนนายต่อก Packaging

Department 5 roduction

Production Production

Department 3 uomonpoa

Production Production

Department I uomonpola

Department BuidseW

100001000 Warehouse

IT Department Department

Quality Control

Department

Sonensintem

ك للاستشارات

www.

Table VI.

1JPPM 69,1	Department	Types of capacity	Capacity costs (€)	Numbers of employees	Total time capacity provided (min)	85% of capacity provided	CCR
	Accounting & Purchasing	Employee	23,983.00	1	138,171	117,445 ^a	€0.2042
208	Sales & Logistics Department	Employees (office)	17,132.46	1	138,171	117,445	€0.1458
		Drivers & Vehicles	68,518.54	2	276,342	234,891×34 m ^{3b}	€0.00858 per m ³ per min
	Maintenance Department	Employee	15.733.00	1	138.171	117.445	€0.1340
	Quality Control & HR Department	Employee	34,955.00	2	276,343	234,892	€0.1488
	Warehouse Department	Employee	8,911.39	1	138,171	117,445	€0.0759
		Storage	55,955.36		525,600	525,600×1,770 m ^{3c}	0.0000601 per m ³ per min
	Washing Department	Employee	26,488.00	1	138,171	117,445	€0.2255
Table VII. Capacity cost rate	Notes: ^a CCR for employee working days in each weel deducting 25 days of holida there were a total of 138,17 theoretical capacity of emp was calculated by multiply cubic meters (34m ³). The C total m ³ ×min capacity; ^c warehouses are available : multiplied by the storage	s was calcula s, which total ays. Total wo 1working min ployees (138,1 ving the pract CR for vehicl the capacity for the full y comparity (1,17	ted based o s 313 days, rking hours nutes per ye 71×0.85) = ical time ca le resources of wareho ear (365 da $(0m^3)$)	n the assumption including here assumption of the series o	ption that there a olidays. There are are calculated as capacity was as e total practical ro drivers (138,1' alculated by divi- was measured 0 min), the time	tre 52 weeks in a re 288 net workin (288 days \times 8 h) = sumed to be 85 p capacity of vehic 71 \times 2) by the car ding the capacity l in min \times m ³ . B capacity was cal	year and six g days after = 2,303 while ercent of the cle resources 's volume in v cost by the y assuming lculated and

We calculated the indirect costs incurred in Production Department 2 and the Packaging Department for one batch of cookies (ID 10320) using the time equation and CCRs calculated for Production Department 2 and the Packaging Department as follows:

280 min × CCR_(production employees) + 15 min × CCR_(mixer 120 lt) + 60 min × CCR_(oven) +40 min × CCR_(trolley) + 12 min per m² × CCR_(elevator 2) +135 min × CCR_(packaging department's employees) +45 min × CCR_(packaging machine mod 850) + 10 min × CCR_(production printer) = 280 min × 0.08171 €/min + 15 × 0.01463 €/min +60 min × 0.11369 €/min +40 min × 0.001943836 €/min +12 min per m² × 0.000012 €/min per m² +135 min × 0.09524 €/min + 45 min × 0.01905 €

 $+10 \min \times 0.00190 \notin \min = 43.73 \notin$.

The total indirect cost of one batch of cookies (ID 10320) also includes costs allocated by operating departments. The cost of different batches produced for the different clients within the year vary because the costs of raw materials and packaging materials change,



				o i
Resources	Capacity costs ^a (€)	Total time capacity (min)	Capacity cost rate (CCR) ^b	Capacity
Stove	1.260.01	302.400	€0.00417	analysis through
Flour dosometric machine	328.35	302,400	€0.00109	
Mixer AR80	1,112.31	302,400	€0.00368	IDABU
Mixer XBE60	286.93	302,400	€0.00095	
Mixer 120 lt	4,423.85	302,400	€0.01463	
Cutting machine ^c	3,236.93	302,400	€0.01291	209
Conveyor Belt 1	171.54	,		
Conveyor Belt 2	248.46			
Conveyor Belt 3	248.46			
Ice trimmer machine	705.85	302,400	0.00233	
Machine for producing cookies	748.46	302,400	€0.00248	
Machine for grinding raw materials	363.85	302,400	€0.00120	
Film wrapping machine	210.00	302,400	€0.00069	
Oven 1 ^d	4,793.83	1,814,400	€0.11369	
Oven 2	5,916.91			
Oven 3	5,916.91			
Oven 4	5,916.91			
Oven 5	5,916.91			
Oven 6	5,916.91			
Almond crusher machine	225.39	302,400	€0.00075	
Recipe execution station	303.54	302,400	€0.00100	
Refrigerator	1,647.26	1,051,200 ^e	$\notin 0.00157$ per min per m ³	
Water cooler machine	510.00	302,400	€0.00169	
Production 2 employees	56,278.47	688,800	€0.08171	
Elevator 2 ^t	175.99	$1,512,000 \mathrm{min} \times \mathrm{m}^2$	0.000012 per min per m ^{2g}	
Trolley ^h			€0,001943836	

Notes: "Capacity costs of resources include depreciation, electricity used by the machine, maintenance materials used for the machine etc. Some of these costs are direct costs like depreciation and maintenance materials used for the machines. To allocate indirect costs to the resources suitable cost drivers are used. For example, kws for electricity used. Capacity costs of resources also include cost allocated from supporting and operating departments to the production departments; ^bthe CCRs for the machines for every department were calculated based on the assumption that the machines are available 14 h per day and 12 months per year, since the factory produces daily bakery products. Thus, to determine how many minutes that a machine can operate (excluding five days for regular maintenance repairs per vear), we calculated $14 \text{ h/day} \times 60 \text{ min/h} \times 360$ operating days. The CCRs for machines like refrigerators that store products were calculated by dividing the annual depreciation and operating expenses by their useable volume in cubic meters. This result was divided by converting the 365 days of the year into minutes to find the cost per m³ per min. The CCR for the production department for ice cream was calculated differently since this department does not operating throughout the year; ^cwe grouped these machines because the cutting machine does not work without the conveyor belts; ^dwe grouped these machines and obtained a single CCR because they have identical characteristics and perform identical work; "the refrigerator has a storage capacity of 2 m^3 while its annual operating time is (365 days $\times 24 \text{ h per day} \times 60 \text{ min per hour}) = 525,600 \text{ min. The}$ total capacity of the refrigerator is $(2 \text{ m}^3 \times 525,600 \text{ min}) = 1.051,200 \text{ min} \times \text{m}^3$; there are two elevators. One connects Production Department 1 with the lower floor where the Packaging Department is located while the second elevator connects the Packaging Department with the other departments below. Although Elevator 2 is also used by other departments, its CCR calculation is shown here; ^gthe CCR can be calculated based on the time and the space (m²) that the trolleys occupy to send them from Production Department 2 to the Packaging Department, and send back the empty trolleys to Production Department 2 after the products have been packed in sealed bags. Elevator 2 has a maximum capacity of 5 m² and can take six trolleys. The operating time per year (excluding maintenance) is 302,400 minutes. The total capacity of Elevator 2 is $(302,400 \text{ min} \times 5 \text{ m}^2) = 1,512,000$ min×m², ^hthe trolleys are transferred from Production Department 2 to the Packaging Department. They remain in each department for variable times depending on the task. The CCR for the trollevs when they are in Production Department 2 is €0.001943836 while the CCR when they are in the Packaging Department is €0.001277883. For Production Department 2, the trollevs have an annual cost of €595.98. These costs derive from the department where they are located, based on the space that they occupy and any maintenance that they require. We assume that they are available for $(14 \text{ h per day} \times 60 \text{ min per hour} \times 365 \text{ days per vear}) = 306,600 \text{ min per vear}$. To calculate the CCR, we divided the total annual cost by the time capacity (ε 595.98/306,600 min)

Table VIII.CCR calculations for
Production
Department 2



IJPPM 69,1	Resources	Capacity costs (€)	Total time capacity (min)	Capacity cost rate (CCR) (€)	
	Packaging machine mod.Sim ^a	11,624.08	302,400	0.06631	
	Packaging machine mod.250	4,640.17			
	Check weightier machine	1,370.94			
210	Vertical belt	1,823.95			
	Round movable table	594.05			
	Metal detector	1,209.43	302,400	0.00400	
	Packaging machine mod.850	5,760.80	302,400	0.01905	
Table IX. CCR calculations for	Horizontal packaging machine	4,726.56	302,400	0.01563	
	Production printer	573.95	302,400	0.00190	
	Employees in packaging department	26,241.40	275,520	0.09524	
department	Note: "We grouped these machines since they do not operate independently				

Subtask	Time driver	Cookies Time consumed	Calculation of cost for cookies
Collect raw materials from the department's daily storage shelves Prepare recipe by checking the weight of each ingredient in the scale.	Number of batches	8 min (production employees) 8 min (production employees)	$8 \min \times CCR_{(production)}$ $8 \min \times CCR_{(production)}$ employees)
Mix raw materials in the mixer Take out mixed materials and shape by hand by cutting into pieces. Lay the pieces onto metal sheets. Place the metal sheets into trolleus		15 min (if mixer 120 lt) 252 min (production employees)	$15 \min \times CCR_{(mixer 120 lt)}$ $252 \times CCR_{(production employed)}$
Put trolleys into oven to bake cookies		60 min (oven machine)+ 4 min (production employees)	$\begin{array}{l} 60 \min \times \mathrm{CCR}_{\mathrm{(oven)}} \\ 4 \min \times \mathrm{CCR}_{\mathrm{(production)}} \end{array}$
Take trolleys out of oven and leave them until the product temperature falls Load trolleys into elevator and transfer to Packaging Department		40 min (product stays on the trolley)+ 4 min (production employees) 12 min (elevator)+ 4 min (production employees)	$\begin{array}{l} {}^{employees)}_{40\ min\ \times\ CCR_{(trolley)}}\\ 4\ min\ \times\ CCR_{(production}\\ {}^{employees)}_{12\ min\ per\ m^2\ \times\ CCR_{(elevat)}}\\ {}^{2}_{2)}\!\!+\ 4\ min\ \times\ CCR_{(production)} \end{array}$
Pack products into sealed bags and pack bags in cartons		90 min if packaging is 400 gr/package (employee time) + 45 min (group of 4 different packaging machines if packaging is 400 gr/package)+ 10 min (print labels for the carton boxes if product is 400 gr/ package) 45 min if package if 5 kg/box (only employees' time, no packaging machines needed)	employees) 135 min × CCR _{(packaging} department's employees) + 45 mi × CCR _{(packaging} machine mod.850) + 10 min × CCR _{(production} printer)

Each type has different time equation



specific clients have different waiting times in the warehouses and the frequency and volume of clients' orders fluctuate. Table XI shows the average cost for a single product produced in Production Department 2 and packaged in the Packaging Department.

analysis through Table XII shows the time equation for biscuits (ID 10325) production in Production Department 2.

Activity location	Cost per batch (€)	Cost per unit (€)	211
Raw materials	169.26	0.6994	
Production Department 2	30.00	0.1240	
Packaging Department	13.73	0.0567	
Accounting & Purchasing Department	22.35	0.0924	
Sales & Logistics Department	34.89	0.1442	
Warehouse Department	29.48	0.1218	Table VI
Washing Department	0.00^{a}	0.0000	Total cost of one
Total product cost	299.71	1.2385	hatch/unit of cookies
Note: ^a The Washing Department was not invo	olved in the process for this specific	e product	(ID 10320)

	T .	Biscuits												
Subtask	driver	Time consumed	Calculation of cost for biscuits											
Collect raw materials from department's daily storage	Number of	8 min (production employees)	$8 \min \times CCR_{(production employees)}$											
Prepare recipe by checking the weight of each ingredient in the scale. Put raw materials in mixer	batches	8 min (production employees)	$8 \min \times CCR_{(production employees)}$											
Mix raw materials in the mixer Take out mixed materials and		18 min (mixer 80 lt) 35 min (cutting machine and	$\begin{array}{l} 18 \min \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{mixer 80 lt})} \\ 35 \min \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{cutting machine and})} \end{array}$											
place in dough divider machine. Lay pieces onto metal sheets. Load metal sheets onto trolleys Put trolleys into ovens to bake		conveyor belts) + 114 min (production employees)	$_{114 \min \times CCR_{(production)}}$											
	s	40 min (oven machine) + 12 min (production employees)	$\stackrel{\text{employees})}{40 \min \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{oven})}}$ $12 \min \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{production})}$											
Take trolleys out of the ovens and leave to cool		$40 \min$ (product stays on the trolley) + $4 \min$ (production	$40 \min \times CCR_{(trolley)}$ $4 \min \times CCR_{(production)}$											
Load trolleys into elevator and transfer to Packaging												employees) 12 min (elevator 2)+ 4 min (production employees)	$ \begin{array}{l} {}^{employees)}_{12 \text{ min per m}^2 \times \text{CCR}_{(elevator 2)} \\ 4 \text{ min } \times \text{CCR}_{(production)} \end{array} $	
Department Place products into sealing bags		35 min if packaging is 380 gr/	$_{55 \rm min}^{\rm employees)} \times {\rm CCR}_{\rm (packaging)}$											
and then into cartons		package (employees in packaging department) + 45 min × packaging machine mod.850)	department's employees) $45 \min \times CCR_{(packaging machines)} + 10 \min \times CCR$											
		+ 10 min (printing labels for cartons, employees in packaging department) 20 min if package is 5 kg/box. (only employees time, no packaging machines needed)	(production printer)	Table XII. Time equation for biscuits (ID 10325) production in production department 2										



011

Capacity

TDABC

utilization

69,1	CCRs calculated for Production Department 2 and the Packaging Department as follows:
	$150 \text{ min} \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{production employees})} + 18 \text{ min} \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{mixer 80lt})} + 35 \text{ min}$
	\times CCR _(cutting machine and conveyor belts)
212	+40 min \times CCR _(oven) +40 min \times CCR _(trolley) +12 min per m ² \times CCR _(elevator 2)
	$+55 \text{ min } \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{packaging department's employees})} + 45 \text{ min } \times \text{CCR}_{(\text{packaging machines})}$
	$+10 \min \times CCR_{(production printer)}$
	= 150 min \times 0.08171 ϵ /min + 18 min \times 0.00368 ϵ /min
	+35 min × 0.01291 €/min
	$+40 \min \times 0.11369 $ €/min $+40 \min \times 0.001943836 $ €/min
	$+12 \min \text{ per m}^2 \times 0.000012 \epsilon/\min \text{ per m}^2$
	+55 min × 0.09524 €/min + 45 min × 0.01905 €
	$+10 \min \times 0.00190 \notin /\min = 23.50 \notin$

4. Capacity utilization analysis

HDDM

Perhaps the most beneficial tool of TDABC is the capacity utilization analysis conducted through the model (Öker and Adıgüzel, 2010; Stouthuysen *et al.*, 2010). When applying the model, the practical capacities of resources like machines, equipment and employees are determined and compared with the actual usage of the capacities at the end of the measurement period. The last two columns of Table VI show the unused or excess capacities of the supporting and operating departments. Table VI compares the total capacity used with the practical capacity of the departments. Capacity is generally measured thorough the employee time available for the supporting and operating departments apart from the storage capacity of the "Warehouse" Department and the driver and vehicle capacity of the "Sales & Logistics" Department. These are measured as "minute*m³." The findings indicate that all the employees of the supporting and operating departments are working under capacity except for the "Maintenance" and "Quality Control & HR" Departments. These findings can be used by management when making performance evaluations.

We calculated the cost of one batch of biscuits (ID 10325) by using the time equation and

Table XIII shows the capacity utilization of resources per year in Production Department 2. According to the analysis, the cookies producing machine, grinding machine and film wrapping machine had the greatest excess capacities at 38, 15 and 5 percent, respectively. Because these machines are not used by other departments, the unused capacity cannot be transferred to other departments. The company cannot reduce their excess capacity because there is only one of each machine, and they are all required for production.

The capacity utilization of employee resources was 88 percent. The products produced in each production department require different skills from the employees. Therefore, although employees that can work in Production Department 1 can also work in Production Department 2, they cannot work in Production Department 3 (pastry), Production Department 4 (sweets) or Production Department 5 (ice cream) without retraining.

In the TDABC model of the case company, only the cost of the capacity used is allocated to products while unused capacity is directly expensed in P/L. The costs of the excess capacities of the machines are not included in the inventoriable product costs. The overhead of unused capacity is expensed immediately as it is incurred whereas the overhead of used capacity is inventoried until the accounting period during which the manufactured goods are sold. In the TDABC model of the case company, there is no variable-fixed cost



Resources	Available capacity (min)	Consumed capacity ^a (min)	Capacity utilization (%)	utilization
Stove	302,400	235,872	78.00	analysis through
Flour dosometric machine	302,400	276,817	91.54	IDAD
Mixer AR80	302,400	205,632	68.00	
Mixer XBE60	302,400	166.320	55.00	
Mixer 120 lt	302,400	214,704	71.00	213
Cutting machine	302,400	205,632	68.00	
Conveyor Belt 1	,	,		
Conveyor Belt 2				
Conveyor Belt 3				
Ice trimmer machine	302,400	214,704	71.00	
Machine for producing cookies	302,400	114,912	38.00	
Machine for grinding raw materials	302,400	45,360	15.00	
Film wrapping machine	302,400	15,120	5.00	
Oven 1	1,814,400	1,614,816	89.00	
Oven 2	, ,	, ,		
Oven 3				
Oven 4				
Oven 5				
Oven 6				
Almond crusher machine	302,400	214,704	71.00	
Recipe execution station	302,400	276,817	91.54	
Refrigerator	302,400	287,885	95.20	
Water cooler machine	302,400	286,675	94.80	
Employees in production	688,800	610,208	88.59	Table VIII
Department 2	,	,		Consister utilization
Notes: ^a Consumed capacity of each	resource calculated a	ecording to actual produ	uction during one-year	apacity utilization
period. Based on the time-equations d	eveloped (see Tables X	and XII as examples), we	determined how many	Production

minutes of each asset required making production during one-year period

Production Department 2

segregation. Separating variable and fixed components of overhead and calculating a different CCR for each could be a better way of costing. Figure 2 illustrates how the direct and indirect costs were allocated in the case company.

Tse and Gong (2009) also state the benefits of the recognition of idle resources in TDABC. Under traditional volume-based costing models and the ABC model, all overhead costs of the



IJPPM	period are recognized as product costs. Any difference between the total overhead cost and
69.1	allocated overhead is regarded as an error in the allocation process and adjusted at the end of the
)	period. However according to TDABC, only resource costs consumed by the products are treated
	as product costs while idle resource costs are treated as period costs (Tse and Gong, 2009).
	Capacity analysis through TDABC provides two benefits to companies. First, because
	TDABC does not allocate the cost of unused capacity to products, it provides more accurate
214	information on product costs. Second, companies can improve operational efficiency by reducing

5. Conclusion

This study has offered a comprehensive application of TDABC in a small-sized manufacturing company. Siguenza Guzman et al. (2013) suggest that it is important that TDABC is implemented by independent researchers rather than its creators to provide unbiased evaluations of the system. Previous studies have discussed the advantages and disadvantages of TDABC. One of the most important advantages is its simplicity because it only requires two parameters: CCR and time consumptions. The second advantage mentioned in previous studies is the ability of the time equations to reflect complex operations. Third, TDABC allows for a capacity utilization analysis. However, there are also many criticisms. One is that TDABC can be subjective and requires a considerable amount of data. Having conducted our own implementation, we agree with most of the advantages. Especially for small-sized companies, determining time consumption through estimations or direct observations is easier than for larger firms, which decreases the subjectivity of employees. On the other hand, in contrast to previous studies, we argue that simple excel sheets are not enough to build and maintain a TDABC model even in small firms. Rather, business intelligence software and programming coding is required to capture the complexity of the time equations.

idle capacity, either through increased production volume or elimination of idle resources.

Finally, although the fundamental structure of TDABC is the same for all companies in the use of time equations and calculating CCRs, there is no strict form of application. Instead, it can vary from company to company according to the organization chart and resource expenses flows between departments and from departments to products. That is, each firm has unique characteristics that need to be reflected in the application of the model.

Notes

- 1. The company is real but the quantities have been changed to maintain confidentiality.
- 2. Subtasks of "Drop off the plastic boxes at retail shops," "Load empty plastic boxes into car" "Drop off empty plastic boxes at washing department" performed by employees. So, only these subtasks' times are included in time equation 1.
- 3. The first equation shows pushing the costs of the warehouse employee to the departments that he/she serves and the second equation shows pushing the costs of storage of the raw materials onto the batches.
- 4. Days stored multiplied by 1,440 (24 h×60 min) to convert it to the number of minutes.

References

Akhavan, S., Ward, L. and Bozic, K.J. (2016), "Time-driven activity-based costing more accurately reflects costs in arthroplasty surgery", Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, Vol. 474 No. 1, pp. 8-15.

Barros, R.S. and Ferreira, A.M.D.S.D.C. (2017), "Time-driven activity-based costing: designing a model in a Portuguese production environment", Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 2-20.



Bruggeman, W., Everaert, P., Anderson, S. and Levant, Y. (2005), "Modeling logistics costs using Time-Driven ABC: a case in a distribution company", conceptual paper and case study, Ghent University.

- Campanale, C., Cinquini, L. and Tenucci, A. (2014), "Time-driven activity-based costing to improve transparency and decision making in healthcare: a case study", *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 165-186.
- Dalci, I., Tanis, V. and Kosan, L. (2010), "Customer profitability analysis with time-driven activitybased costing: a case study in a hotel", *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, Vol. 22 No. 5, pp. 609-637.
- Demeere, N., Stouthuysen, K. and Roodhooft, F. (2009), "Time-driven activity-based costing in an outpatient clinic environment: development, relevance and managerial impact", *Health Policy*, Vol. 92 Nos 2-3, pp. 296-304.
- Donovan, C.J., Hopkins, M., Kimmel, B.M., Koberna, S. and Montie, C.A. (2014), "How Cleveland Clinic used TDABC to improve value", *Healthcare Financial Management*, Vol. 68 No. 6, pp. 84-89.
- Everaert, P., Cleuren, G. and Hoozée, S. (2012), "Using Time-Driven ABC to identify operational improvements: a case study in a university restaurant", *Journal of Cost Management*, Vol. 26 No. 2 pp. 41-48.
- Fladkjær, H. and Jensen, E. (2011), "The ABC-paradox: is Time Driven ABC relevant for small and medium sized enterprises (SME)?", Aalborg University, Department of Business and Management, Vol. 2, pp. 1-23.
- Ganorkar, A.B., Lakhe, R.R. and Agrawal, K.N. (2018), "Implementation of TDABC in SME: a case study", *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 87-113.
- Ganorkar, A.B., Lakhe, R.R. and Agrawal, K.N. (2019), "Methodology for application of Maynard Operation Sequence Technique (MOST) for time-driven activity-based costing (TDABC)", *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 68 No. 1, pp. 2-25.
- Kaplan, A.L., Agarwal, N., Setlur, N.P., Tan, H.J., Niedzwiecki, D., McLaughlin, N., Burke, M.A., Teinberg, K.S., Chamie, K. and Saigal, C.S. (2015), "Measuring the cost of care in benign prostatic hyperplasia using time-driven activity-based costing (TDABC)", *Healthcare*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 43-48.
- Kaplan, R.S., Witkowski, M., Abbott, M., Guzman, A.B., Higgins, L.D., Meara, J.G., Padden, E., Shah, A.S., Apurva, S., Waters, P., Hall, J.E., Weidemeier, M., Feelet, T. and Wertheimer, S. (2014), "Using timedriven activity-based costing to identify value improvement opportunities in healthcare", *Journal* of *Healthcare Management*, Vol. 59 No. 6, pp. 399-412.
- Kont, K.R. and Jantson, S. (2011), "Activity-based costing (ABC) and time-driven activity-based costing (TDABC): applicable methods for university libraries?", *Evidence Based Library and Information Practice*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 107-119.
- Laviana, A.A., Ilg, A.M., Veruttipong, D., Tan, H.J., Burke, M.A., Niedzwiecki, D.R., Kupelian, D.R., King, C.R., Steinberg, M.L., Kundavaram, C.R., Kamrava, M., Kaplan, A.L., Moriarity, A.K., Hsu, W., Margolis, D.J.A., Hu, J.C. and Saigal, C.S. (2016), "Utilizing time-driven activity-based costing to understand the short-and long-term costs of treating localized, low-risk prostate cancer", *Cancer*, Vol. 122 No. 3, pp. 447-455.
- Lueg, R. and Morratz, H. (2017), "Understanding the error-structure of Time-driven activity-based costing: a pilot implementation at a European manufacturing company", *European Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 49-56.
- McLaughlin, N., Burke, M.A., Setlur, N.P., Niedzwiecki, D.R., Kaplan, A.L., Saigal, C., Mahajan, A., Martin, N.A. and Kaplan, R.S. (2014), "Time-driven activity-based costing: a driver for provider engagement in costing activities and redesign initiatives", *Neurosurgical Focus*, Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 1-9.
- Musov, M. (2017), "Time-driven activity-based costing: potential for application at the SMEs in Poland and Bulgaria", working paper, University of National and World Economy (UNWE), available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2924495



Capacity utilization analysis through TDABC

IJPPM 69,1	Öker, F. and Adıgüzel, H. (2010), "Time-driven activity-based costing: an implementation in a manufacturing company", <i>Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance</i> , Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 39-56.
	Pernot, E., Roodhooft, F. and Van den Abbeele, A. (2007), "Time-driven activity-based costing for inter- library services: a case study in a university", <i>The Journal of Academic Librarianship</i> , Vol. 33 No. 5, pp. 551-560.
216	Riediansyaf, M.D. (2014), "The application of time driven activity based costing in the hospitality industry: an exploratory case study", <i>The Journal of Applied Management Accounting Research</i> , Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 27-54.
	Ruiz de Arbulo, P., Fortuny, J., Garcia, J., Diaz de Basurto, P. and Zarrabeitia, E. (2012), "Innovation in cost management. A comparison between Time-Driven Activity-Based Costing (TDABC) and Value Stream Costing (VSC) in an Auto-Parts factory", in Sethi, S.P., Bogataj, M. and Ros-McDonnell, L. (Eds), <i>Industrial Engineering: Innovative Networks</i> , Springer, London, pp. 121-128.
	Siguenza Guzman, L., Van den Abbeele, A., Vandewalle, J., Verhaaren, H. and Cattrysse, D. (2013), "Recent evolutions in costing systems: a literature review of time-driven activity-based costing", <i>Review of Business and Economic Literature</i> , Vol. 58 No. 1, pp. 34-64.
	Siguenza-Guzman, L., Van den Abbeele, A., Vandewalle, J., Verhaaren, H. and Cattrysse, D. (2014), "Using time-driven activity-based costing to support library management decisions: a case study for lending and returning processes", <i>The Library Quarterly</i> , Vol. 84 No. 1, pp. 76-98.

- Somapa, S., Cools, M. and Dullaert, W. (2012), "Unlocking the potential of time-driven activity-based costing for small logistics companies", *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, Vol. 15 No. 5, pp. 303-322.
- Stout, D.E. and Propri, J.M. (2011), "Implementing time-driven activity-based costing at a medium-sized electronics company", *Management Accounting Quarterly*, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 1-11.
- Stouthuysen, K., Swiggers, M., Reheul, A.-M. and Roodhooft, F. (2010), "Time-driven activity-based costing for a library acquisition process: a case study in a Belgian University", *Library Collections, Acquisitions, and Technical Services*, Vol. 34 Nos 2-3, pp. 83-91.
- Tse, M. and Gong, M. (2009), "Recognition of idle resources in time-driven activity-based costing and resource consumption accounting models", *Journal of Applied Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 41-54.
- Varila, M., Seppanen, M. and Suomala, P. (2007), "Detailed cost modeling: a case study in warehouse logistics", *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp. 184-200.
- Wouters, M. and Stecher, J. (2017), "Development of real-time product cost measurement: a case study in a medium-sized manufacturing company", *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 183, Part A, pp. 235-244.

Further reading

Kaplan, R.S. and Anderson, S.R. (2007), Time-driven Activity-based Costing: A Simpler and More Powerful Path to Higher Profits, Harvard Business School Publishing, Boston, MA, pp. 49-51.

Corresponding author

Hümeyra Adıgüzel can be contacted at: humeyra.adiguzel@eas.bau.edu.tr

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com



Reproduced with permission of copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

